IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY

CRIMINAL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

CRIMINAL APPLICATION NO.69 OF 2006
IN
CRIMINAL APPEAL NO. OF 2005

Shri Narayan Dagadu Dhotre ...Applicant
V/s.
Pandurang Dagadu Ghodke & Ors. ...Respondents

Mr.Surel S. Shah for Applicant.
Mr.Y.M. Nakhawa APP for the Respondents.

CORAM : V.M. KANADE, J.

DATED :12th SEPTEMBER, 2007.

P.C:-

1. Heard learned counsel for the Applicant.

2. Applicant is the original Complainant who had lodged a private complaint against the Respondents herein and original Accused No. 1 to 4 for the offences punishable U/s.193, 197, 198, 468, 471 r/w 120-B of IPC.

3. The Trial Court convicted the original Accused No.1 to 4 and sentenced to suffer R.I. for six months. However, so far as present Respondents are concerned, the Trial Court after appreciating the evidence on record, was of the view that there was no material on record to suggest that these Respondents were part of the conspiracy in fabricating concerned

documents.

4. I have perused the order passed by the Trial Court. In my view, there is no infirmity in the said finding recorded by the Trial Court. It can not be said that the said finding recorded by the Trial Court is perverse. Hence, no case is made out by the Applicant to grant leave. Submissions made by the Applicant cannot be accepted. Leave is refused. Hence, Appeal does not survive and dismissed accordingly.

V.M. KANADE, J.